UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,507	08/31/2006	Masayoshi Hirose	2005_0699A	5056
513 7590 06/22/2007 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER	
			GRANT, ALVIN J	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/534,507	HIROSE ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alvin J. Grant	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the other period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	of Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expire), which is after the expiration of the ed on			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely final Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	tion consists only of: (1) a timely led Notice of Appeal (with appe	filed amendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not cons final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	stitute a proper reply, or a bona	fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL)		e, within the statutory period of three months			
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	nce of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$. The publication fee, if require	d by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.				
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	equired by, and within the three-	month period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record,	the assignee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a	a representative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl		because the period for seeking court review			
7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:					
Mr. Pedersen, the attorney of record, indicated th	at it has been abandoned.	Alvin J Grant Patent Examiner			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1 137(a) or (b) or requests to with	draw the holding of abandonment a	Art Unit: 3723			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					
	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20070612			